

FFY 2006 ANNUAL SYNAR REPORT

**42 U.S.C. 300x-26
OMB № 0930-0222**

Submitted by:

**Department of Social and Health Service
Division of Alcohol and Substance Abuse
PO Box 45330
Olympia, WA 98504-5330**

**Contact Person:
Doug Allen, Acting Director
(360) 725-3700**

Submitted December 21, 2005

**Report editor
Heidi J. Dodd
(360) 725-3769
doddhj@dshs.wa.gov**

**Statistics compiled and analyzed by:
Mike Boysun
Washington State Department of Health
(360) 236-3748
mike.boysun@doh.wa.gov**



**U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES
Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration
Center for Substance Abuse Prevention
www.samhsa.gov**

FFY 2006: FUNDING AGREEMENTS/CERTIFICATIONS

The following form must be signed by the Chief Executive Officer or an authorized designee and submitted with this application. Documentation authorizing a designee must be attached to the application.

PUBLIC HEALTH SERVICES ACT AND SYNAR AMMENDMENT
42 U.S.C. 300x-26 requires each State to submit an annual report of its progress in meeting the requirements of the Synar Amendment and its implementing regulation (45 C.F.R. 96.130) to the Secretary of the Department of Health and Human Services. By signing below, the chief executive officer (or an authorized designee) of the applicant organization certifies that the State has complied with these reporting requirements and the certifications as set forth below.
SYNAR SURVEY SAMPLING METHODOLOGY
The State certifies that the Synar survey sampling methodology on file with the Center for Substance Abuse Prevention and submitted with the Annual Synar Report for FFY 2005 is up-to-date and approved by the Center for Substance Abuse Prevention.
SYNAR SURVEY INSPECTION PROTOCOL
The State certifies that the Synar Survey Inspection Protocol on file with the Center for Substance Abuse Prevention and submitted with the Annual Synar Report for FFY2006 is up-to-date and approved by the Center for Substance Abuse Prevention.
State: Washington
Name of Chief Executive Officer or Designee: Robin Arnold-Williams
Signature of CEO or Designee:
Title: Secretary Date Signed:
If signed by a designee, a copy of the designation must be attached

SECTION I: FFY 2006 (Compliance Progress)

YOUTH ACCESS LAWS, ACTIVITIES, AND ENFORCEMENT

42 U.S.C. 300x-26 requires the States to report information regarding the sale/distribution of tobacco products to individuals under age 18.

1. Please indicate any changes or additions to the State tobacco statute(s) relating to youth access since the last reporting year. Please attach a photocopy of the change(s) in the State law(s) if any was made since the last reporting year. (See 42 U.S.C. 300x-26)

a. Has there been a change in the *minimum sale age* for tobacco products?

☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, current minimum age: ☐ 19 ☐ 20 ☐ 21

b. Have there been any changes in State law that impact the State's *protocol for conducting Synar inspections*? ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, indicate change (check all that apply):

☐ Changed to require that law enforcement conduct inspections of tobacco outlets

☐ Changed to make it illegal for youth to possess, purchase or receive tobacco

☐ Changed to require ID to purchase tobacco

☐ Other change(s) (please describe): _____

c. Have there been any changes in the law concerning *vending machines*?

☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, indicate change (check all that apply):

☐ Total ban enacted

☐ Banned from location(s) accessible to youth

☐ Locking device or supervision required

☐ Other change(s) (please describe): _____

d. Have there been any changes in State laws that impact the following?

Licensing of tobacco vendors ☐ Yes ☒ No

Penalties for sales to minors ☐ Yes ☒ No

2. Describe how the Annual Synar Report (see 45 C.F.R. 96.130(e)) and the State Plan (see 42 U.S.C. 300x-51) were made public within the State. (Check all that apply)

- ☐ Placed on file for public review
- ☒ Posted on a State agency Web site
- ☐ Notice published in a newspaper or newsletter
- ☐ Public hearing
- ☐ Announced in a news release, a press conference, or discussed in a media interview
- ☐ Distributed for review as part of the SAPT Block Grant application process
- ☐ Distributed through the public library system
- ☐ Published in an annual register
- ☒ Other change(s) (please describe): E-mailed to large number of stakeholders, state-level partners, tribal and county contractors, and providers via list-serves.

3. Identify the following agency or agencies. (See 42 U.S.C. 300x-26 and 45 C.F.R. 96.130)

a. The State agency(s) designated by the Governor for oversight of the Synar requirements:

Washington State Department of Social and Health Services Division of Alcohol and Substance Abuse_____

Has this changed since last year's Annual Synar Report? ☐ Yes ☒ No

b. The State agency(s) responsible for conducting random, unannounced Synar inspections:

Washington State Department of Health

Has this changed since last year's Annual Synar Report? ☐ Yes ☒ No

c. The State agency(s) responsible for enforcing youth tobacco access law(s):

Washington State Liquor Control Board

Has this changed since last year's Annual Synar Report? ☐ Yes ☒ No

4. Identify the State agency(s) responsible for tobacco prevention control activities.

Washington State Department of Health

Has the responsible agency changed since last year's Annual Synar Report?

☐ Yes ☒ No

a. Describe the coordination and collaboration that occur between the agency responsible for tobacco control and the agency responsible for oversight of the Synar requirements. The two agencies (*check all that apply*):

- ☐ Are the same
☐ Have a formal written memorandum of agreement
☒ Have an informal partnership
☒ Conduct joint planning activities
☐ Combine resources
☐ Have other collaborative arrangement(s) (*please describe*): _____

5. Please answer the following questions regarding the State's activities to enforce the youth access to tobacco law(s) in FFY 2004. (See 42 U.S.C. 300x-26 and 45 C.F.R. 96.130(e))

a. Which one of the following describes the enforcement of youth access to tobacco laws carried out in your State? (*Check one category only*)

- ☐ Enforcement is conducted exclusively by local law enforcement agencies.
☐ Enforcement is conducted exclusively by State agency(s).
☒ Enforcement is conducted by both local and State agencies.

b. The following items concern penalties imposed for violations of youth access to tobacco laws by LOCAL AND/OR STATE LAW ENFORCEMENT AGENCIES. Please fill in the number requested or indicate if these data are unavailable or the item is not applicable.

Each violation is referred to the regional Liquor Control Board (LCB) office for issuance of an administrative violation notice (AVN). We do not have the breakdown for owners and clerks. Fines depend largely on whether the AVN is contested or whether a mitigation agreement is negotiated. We do know that seven recipients of AVN's appealed to the Liquor Control Board. The Board upheld all of the AVN's, with penalties, and dismissed none.

PENALTY	NOT APPLICABLE	NOT AVAILABLE	TOTAL	If Available	
				OWNERS	CLERKS
Number of <u>citations issued</u>			59		
Number of <u>fines assessed</u>		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
Number of <u>permits/licenses suspended</u>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			

Number of <u>permits/licenses</u> <u>revoked</u>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
Other (please describe):					

c. What additional activities are conducted in your State to support enforcement and compliance with State tobacco access law(s)?

(Check all that apply)

- ☒ Merchant education and/or training
- ☒ Incentives for merchants who are in compliance (e.g., Reward and Reminder)
- ☒ Community education regarding youth access laws
- ☒ Media use to publicize compliance inspection results
- ☒ Community mobilization to increase support for retailer compliance with youth access laws
- ☐ Other activities *(please list)*: _____

Briefly describe all checked activities:

Merchant education – The LCB delivered 875 merchant education programs between September 1, 2003 and August 31, 2004 for more than 9,300 participants. The education programs cover both alcohol and tobacco licensing requirements. A new video explaining licensing laws was introduced in July 2004.

Incentives --- Local contractors for DOH provide vendors with letters of recognition, engraved placards and public press releases recognizing their efforts in decreasing tobacco sales to youth.

Community education – Local contractors for the DOH deliver multiple community education programs regarding youth access and other tobacco-related issues.

Media – The DOH uses Master Settlement Agreement funds to support a comprehensive statewide media campaign regarding tobacco issues. The messages are primarily targeted at encouraging youth not to start smoking.

Community mobilization – a number of activities are underway to mobilize communities around tobacco issues. DOH local tobacco prevention and control contractors are crucial to these efforts.

SYNAR SURVEY METHODS AND RESULTS

The following questions pertain to the survey methodology and results of the Synar survey used by the State to meet the requirements of the Synar Regulation in FFY 2004. (See 42 U.S.C. 300x-26 and 45 C.F.R. 96.130)

6. Has the sampling methodology changed from the previous year?

☐ Yes ☒ No

The State is required to have an approved up-to-date description of the Synar sampling methodology on file with CSAP. Please submit a copy of your Synar Survey Sampling Methodology (Appendix B). If the sampling methodology changed from the previous reporting year, these changes must be reflected in the methodology submitted.

7. Please answer the following questions regarding the State's annual random, unannounced inspections of tobacco outlets. (See 45 C.F.R. 96.130(d)(2))

a. Did the State use the optional Synar Survey Estimation System (SSES) to analyze the Synar survey data?

☒ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, attach SSES summary tables 1, 2, 3 and 4 and go to Question 8. If No, continue to Question 7b.

b. Report the weighted and unweighted Retailer Violation Rate (RVR) estimates, and the standard error.

Unweighted RVR _____

Weighted RVR _____

Standard error (s.e.) of the (weighted) RVR _____

Fill in the blanks to calculate the right limit of the right-sided 95% confidence interval.

_____	+	(1.645	×	_____)	=	_____
RVR Estimate	Plus	(1.645	times	Standard Error)	equals	Right Limit

c. Fill out Form 1 in Appendix A (Forms). (Required regardless of the sample design)

d. How were the (weighted) RVR estimate and its standard error obtained? *(Check the one that applies)*

☐ Form 2 (Optional) in Appendix A (Forms) *(Attach completed Form 2)*

☐ Other *(Please specify. Provide formulae and calculations or attach and explain the program code and output with description of all variable names.)*

e. If stratification was used, did any strata in the sample contain only one outlet or cluster this year?

☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ No stratification

If Yes, explain how this situation was dealt with in variance estimation.

f. Was a cluster sample design used?

☐ Yes ☐ No

If No, go to Question 7g.

If Yes, fill out and attach Form 3 in Appendix A (Forms), and answer the following question:

Were any certainty primary sampling units selected this year?

☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, explain how the certainty clusters were dealt with in variance estimation.

g. Report the following outlet sample sizes for the Synar survey.

	Sample Size
Effective sample size (sample size needed to meet the SAMHSA precision requirement assuming simple random sampling)	
Target sample size (the product of the effective sample size and the design effect)	
Original sample size (inflated sample size of the target sample to counter the sample attrition due to ineligibility and non-completion)	
Eligible sample size (number of outlets found to be eligible in the sample)	
Final sample size (number of eligible outlets in the sample for which an inspection was completed)	

h. Fill out Form 4 in Appendix A (Forms).

8. Did the State's Synar survey use a list frame?

☒ Yes ☐ No

*If **Yes**, answer the following questions about its coverage:*

a. The calendar year of the latest frame coverage study: 2003

b. Percent coverage from the latest frame coverage study: 91%

c. Was a new study conducted in this reporting period? ☐ Yes ☒ No

*If **Yes**, please complete Appendix D (List Sampling Frame Coverage Study) and submit it with the Annual Synar Report.*

d. The calendar year of the next coverage study planned: 2007

9. Has the Synar survey inspection protocol changed from the previous year?

☐ Yes ☒ No

The State is required to have an approved up-to-date description of the Synar inspection protocol on file with CSAP. Please submit a copy of your Synar Survey Inspection Protocol (Appendix C). If the inspection protocol changed from the previous year, these changes must be reflected in the protocol submitted.

a. Provide the inspection period: From: 01/15/2005 To: 06/30/2005
MM/DD/YY MM/DD/YY

b. Provide the number of youth inspectors used in the current inspection year: 76

c. Fill out and attach Form 5 in Appendix A (Forms). (Not required if the State used the Synar Survey Estimation System (SSES) to analyze the Synar survey data)

SECTION II: FFY 2007 (Intended Use):

Public law 42 U.S.C. 300x-26 of the Public Health Service Act and 45 C.F.R. 96.130 (e) (4, 5) require that the States provide information on future plans to ensure compliance with the Synar requirements to reduce youth tobacco access.

1. In the upcoming year, does the State anticipate any changes in the:

Synar sampling methodology ☐ Yes ☒ No

Synar inspection protocol ☐ Yes ☒ No

If changes are made in either the Synar sampling methodology or the Synar inspection protocol, the State is required to obtain approval from CSAP prior to implementation of the change and file an updated Synar Survey Sampling Methodology (Appendix B) or an updated Synar Survey Inspection Protocol (Appendix C), as appropriate.

2. Please describe the State's plans to maintain and/or reduce the target rate for Synar inspections to be completed in FFY 2007. Include a brief description of plans for law enforcement efforts to enforce youth tobacco access laws, activities that support law enforcement efforts to enforce youth tobacco access laws, and any anticipated changes in youth tobacco access legislation or regulation in the State.

The state plans the same level of effort – compliance checks by the Liquor Control Board as part of its enforcement responsibilities, youth access compliance checks by Department of Health and 35 Local Health Jurisdictions and contractors (Synar checks are a part of this effort), and a contract from DASA with Public Health Seattle King County to provide supplemental checks in areas with high violation rates.

3. Describe any challenges the State faces in complying with the Synar regulation. (Check all that apply)

- ☒ Limited resources for law enforcement of youth access laws
- ☒ Limited resources for activities to support enforcement and compliance with youth tobacco access laws
- ☐ Limitations in the State youth tobacco access laws
- ☐ Limited public support for enforcement of youth tobacco access laws
- ☐ Limitations on completeness/accuracy of list of tobacco outlets
- ☐ Limited expertise in survey methodology
- ☐ Laws/regulations limiting the use of minors in tobacco inspections
- ☐ Difficulties recruiting youth inspectors
- ☒ Geographic, demographic, and logistical considerations in conducting inspections
- ☒ Cultural factors (e.g., language barriers, young people purchasing for their elders)
- ☒ Issues regarding sources of tobacco under tribal jurisdiction

☐ Other challenges (*please list*):

Briefly describe all checked challenges:

If there was money specifically targeted for youth access enforcement, such as when the FDA was providing funding for checks, we would be able to dedicate specific officers and specific time to the effort. Without specific funding, youth access enforcement is something that gets done as part of the overall law enforcement job and that can mean that the importance of youth access enforcement can sometimes be overlooked.

Washington State has many lightly populated areas that require extensive time and resources in order to complete checks.

Washington State's population is quite diverse and includes a number of relatively recent immigrants to the United States who come from cultures where it is highly unusual not to smoke. Many sales to minors have come from stores owned and operated by these recent arrivals.

There are 29 Federally recognized tribes in the state, and 25 of them have reservation lands upon which state laws – youth access and tobacco taxes among them - cannot be enforced. Though it is expressly forbidden for non-Indians to buy untaxed tobacco products from Indian tobacco outlets, enforcement is very awkward and uneven. The same situation exists with access by youth, though we do not know the extent of the problem of sales to minors through Indian tobacco outlets.

APPENDIX B

STATE: WA

FFY: 2005

SYNAR SURVEY SAMPLING METHODOLOGY

1. What type of sampling frame is used?

- ☒ List frame *(Go to Question 2)*
☐ Area frame *(Go to Question 3)*
☐ List-assisted area frame *(Go to Question 2)*

**** 2. List all sources of the list frame. Indicate the type of source from the list below. Provide a brief description of the frame source. Explain how the lists are updated (method), including how new outlets are identified and added to the frame. In addition, explain how often the lists are updated (cycle). (After completing this question, go to Question 4)**

Use the corresponding number to indicate Type of Source in the table below:

- | | |
|---|--|
| 1 – Statewide commercial business list | 4 – Statewide retail license/permit list |
| 2 – Local commercial business list | 5 – Statewide liquor license/permit list |
| 3 – Statewide tobacco license/permit list | 6 – Other |

Name of Frame Source	Type of Source	Description	Updating Method and Cycle
Washington State Department of Licensing	3	List of tobacco retailers, cleaned of duplicates and vending machines since they are inaccessible to youth.	All retailers are required to renew tobacco licenses no later than June 30 of each year. The list of licensees is updated at that time as well as on an on-going basis throughout the year to reflect new applications for licenses that are submitted/approved. DOH draws the sample from the list provided by Dept of Licensing in November of each year.

3. If an area frame is used, describe how area sampling units are defined and formed.

a. Is any area left out in the formation of the area frame? ☐ Yes ☒ No

If **Yes**, what percentage of the State's population is not covered by the area frame?

_____ %

4. Federal regulation requires that vending machines be inspected as part of the Synar survey. Are vending machines included in the Synar survey?

☐ Yes ☒ No

If **No**, please indicate the reason they are not included in the Synar survey.

☐ State law bans vending machines

☐ State law bans vending machines from locations accessible to youth

☐ State has SAMHSA approval to exempt vending machines from the survey

☒ **Other** (please describe):

Vending machines are not accessible to youth

5. Which category below best describes the sample design? (Check only one)

☐ **Census** (STOP HERE: Appendix B is complete)

Unstratified State-wide sample:

☐ Simple random sample (go to Question 9)

☐ Systematic random sample (go to Question 6)

☐ Single-stage cluster sample (go to Question 8)

☐ Multi-stage cluster sample (go to Question 8)

Stratified sample:

☒ Simple random sample (go to Question 7)

☐ Systematic random sample (go to Question 6)

☐ Single-stage cluster sample (go to Question 7)

☐ Multi-stage cluster sample (go to Question 7)

☐ **Other** (please describe):

☐ **Other** (please describe and go to Question 9):

6. Describe the systematic sampling methods. (After completing Question 6, go to Question 7 if stratification is used. Otherwise, go to 9)

7. Provide the following information about stratification

a. Provide a full description of the strata that are created.

Stratum 1 – King County

Stratum 2 – Non- King County – the state's other 38 counties

b. Is clustering used within the stratified sample?

☐ **Yes** (go to Question 8)

☒ **No** (go to Question 9)

8. Provide the following information about clustering

a. Provide a full description of how clusters are formed. (If multi-stage clusters are used, give definitions of clusters at each stage.)

b. Specify the sampling method (simple random, systematic, or probability proportional to size sampling) for each stage of sampling and describe how the method(s) is (are) implemented.

9. Provide the formulae for determining the effective, target, and original outlet sample sizes.

The effective sample size is determined by

$$S = \frac{Z^2 p(1-p)}{D^2}$$

where $D = 3\%$, $Z = 1.96$ and $P =$ estimated noncompliance rate of previous year.

The target sample size is the effective sample size adjusted for deff of 1.1. That is, the target sample size = $1.1 * (\text{effective sample size})$.

The original sample size is the target sample size adjusted for ineligibility rate R_1 and non-completion rate R_2 . Then, the original sample size = $(\text{target sample size}) / (R_1 R_2)$.

The Department of Health calculates an over-sample of an additional 338 retailers over and above the minimum sample size of 486, for a total of 824.

APPENDIX C

STATE: WA
FFY: 2006

SYNAR SURVEY INSPECTION PROTOCOL

Note: Attach a copy of the inspection form and protocol used to record the inspection result.

1. How does the State Synar survey protocol address the following?

a. Consummated buy attempts?

- | | |
|--|--|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Required | <input type="checkbox"/> Not Permitted |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Permitted under specified circumstances | <input type="checkbox"/> Not specified in protocol |

b. Youth inspectors to carry ID?

- | | |
|---|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Required | <input type="checkbox"/> Not Permitted |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Permitted under specified circumstances | <input type="checkbox"/> Not specified in protocol |

c. Adult inspectors to enter the outlet?

- | | |
|---|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Required | <input type="checkbox"/> Not Permitted |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Permitted under specified circumstances | <input type="checkbox"/> Not specified in protocol |

d. Youth inspectors to be compensated?

- | | |
|--|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Required | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not Permitted |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Permitted under specified circumstances | <input type="checkbox"/> Not specified in protocol |

2. Identify the agency(s) or entity(s) that actually conduct the random, unannounced Synar inspections of tobacco outlets. (Check all that apply)

- ☐ Law enforcement agency(s)
☒ State or local government agency(s) other than law enforcement
☐ Private contractor(s)
☒ Other

List the agency
name(s):

Synar inspections are coordinated by the Washington State Department of Health (DOH) through contracts with 35 local health jurisdictions and other local agencies. Additional tobacco compliance checks are conducted by DOH, the local health jurisdictions and the Washington State Liquor Control Board.

- 3. Are Synar inspections combined with law enforcement efforts (i.e., do law enforcement issue warnings or citations to retailers found in violation of the law at the time of the inspection)?**

☐ Always ☐ Usually ☒ Sometimes ☐ Rarely ☐ Never

- 4. Describe the methods used to recruit, select, and train youth inspectors and adult supervisors.**

Local contractors recruit youth, and prepare to complete the checks within the specified period. Methods for recruitment and selection of youth and adult volunteers vary by local community. DOH frequently suggests recruitment strategies, including use of established youth organizations, upon request.

- 5. Are there specific legal or procedural requirements instituted by the State to address the issue of youth inspectors' immunity when conducting inspections?**

- a. Legal** ☒ Yes ☐ No (If Yes, please describe):

Youth operatives must be accompanied either by a LCB agent, or carry a letter of immunity from the local health department's Health Officer (authorized to grant immunity by state law).

- b. Procedural** ☒ Yes ☐ No (If Yes, please describe):

Youth operatives must be accompanied either by a LCB agent, or carry a letter of immunity from the local health department's Health Officer (authorized to grant immunity by state law).

- 6. Are there specific legal or procedural requirements instituted by the State to address the issue of the safety of youth inspectors during all aspects of the Synar inspection process?**

- a. Legal** ☐ Yes ☒ No (If Yes, please describe):

- b. Procedural** ☒ Yes ☐ No (If Yes, please describe):

Youth and local health staff are instructed not to enter any establishment where the youth feels uncomfortable. LCB, health staff, or adult volunteers are in the immediate vicinity during any compliance check operation. The safety of the youth operative always takes priority over protocol or completion of the inspection.

- 7. Are there any other legal or procedural requirements the State has regarding how inspections are to be conducted (e.g., age of youth inspector, time of inspections, training that must occur)?**

- a. Legal** ☐ Yes ☒ No (If Yes, please describe):

b. Procedural ☒ **Yes** ☐ **No** (*If Yes, please describe*):

Synar inspections are conducted according to a statewide protocol for inspections that is followed by local health jurisdictions. It specifies the age of inspectors and provides a framework from which training is conducted for youth inspectors.

SSES Table 1 (Synar Survey Estimates and Sample Sizes)**CSAP-SYNAR REPORT**

State	WA
Federal Fiscal Year (FFY)	2006
Date	12/1/2005 10:40
Data	SSES WA Synar2005_final.xls
Analysis Option	Stratified SRS with FPC

Estimates

Unweighted Retailer Violation Rate	9.8%
Weighted Retailer Violation Rate	9.6%
Standard Error	1.1%
Is SAMHSA Precision Requirement met?	YES
Right-sided 95% Confidence Interval	[0.0%, 11.5%]
Two-sided 95% Confidence Interval	[7.4%, 11.8%]
Design Effect	1.0
Accuracy Rate (unweighted)	77.1%
Accuracy Rate (weighted)	77.4%
Completion Rate (unweighted)	96.6%

Sample Size for Current Year

Effective Sample Size	486
Target (Minimum) Sample Size	535
Original Sample Size	812
Eligible Sample Size	626
Final Sample Size	605
Overall Sampling Rate	10.1%

SSES Table 2 (Synar Survey Results by Stratum and by OTC/VM)

STATE: WA
FFY: 2006

Samp. Stratum	Var. Stratum	Outlet Frame Size	Estimated Outlet Population Size	Number of PSU Clusters Created	Number of PSU Clusters in Sample	Outlet Sample Size	Number of Eligible Outlets in Sample	Number of Sample Outlets Inspected	Number of Sample Outlets in Violation	Retailer Violation Rate(%)	Standard Error(%)
All Outlets											
1	1	2,331	1,942	N/A	N/A	210	175	173	12	6.9%	
2	2	5,476	4,102	N/A	N/A	602	451	432	47	10.9%	
Total		7,807	6,044			812	626	605	59	9.6%	1.1%
Over the Counter Outlets											
1	1	2,331	1,942	N/A	N/A	210	175	173	12	6.9%	
2	2	5,476	4,102	N/A	N/A	602	451	432	47	10.9%	
Total		7,807	6,044			812	626	605	59	9.6%	1.1%
Vending Machines											
1	1	0	0	N/A	N/A	0	0	0	0	0.0%	
2	2	0	0	N/A	N/A	0	0	0	0	0.0%	
Total		0	0			0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%

SSES Table 3 (Synar Survey Sample Tally Summary)

STATE: WA
FFY: 2006

Disposition Code	Description	Count	Subtotal
EC	Eligible and inspection complete outlet	605	
Total (Eligible Completes)			605
N1	In operation but closed at time of visit	3	
N2	Unsafe to access	1	
N3	Presence of police	0	
N4	Youth inspector knows salesperson	0	
N5	Moved to new location but not inspected	0	
N6	Drive thru only/youth inspector has no drivers license	0	
N7	Tobacco out of stock	1	
N8	Run out of time	0	
N9	Other noncompletion (see below)	16	
Total (Eligible Noncompletes)			21
I1	Out of Business	24	
I2	Does not sell tobacco products	17	
I3	Inaccessible by youth	122	
I4	Private club or private residence	8	
I5	Temporary closure	0	
I6	Unlocatable	5	
I7	Wholesale only/Carton sale only	3	
I8	Vending machine broken	0	
I9	Duplicate	3	
I10	Other ineligibility (see below)	4	
Total (Ineligibles)			186
Grand Total			812

Give reasons and counts for other noncompletion:

Reason	Count

Give reasons and counts for other ineligibility:

Reason	Count

SSES Table 4 (Synar Survey Inspection Results by Youth Inspector Characteristics)

STATE: WA
FFY: 2006

Frequency Distribution

Gender	Age	Number of Inspectors	Attempted Buys	Successful Buys
Male	14	1	19	1
	15	15	99	7
	16	9	118	21
	17	2	5	0
	18	0	0	0
	Subtotal	27	241	29
Female	14	4	63	4
	15	17	95	7
	16	22	192	17
	17	6	14	2
	18	0	0	0
	Subtotal	49	364	30
Other		0	0	0
Grand Total		76	605	59

Buy Rate in Percent by Age and Gender

Age	Male	Female	Total
14	5.3%	6.3%	6.1%
15	7.1%	7.4%	7.2%
16	17.8%	8.9%	12.3%
17	0.0%	14.3%	10.5%
18	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other			0.0%
Total	12.0%	8.2%	9.8%